#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M04698
Date Received: 10/02/09
Date Extracted: 10/06/09
Date Analyzed: 10/07/09
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works PO M04698, F&BI 910031

910031-01 x10 910031-01 x10.016

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: btb

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 81

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

 Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 796

 Nickel
 841

 Copper
 635

 Zinc
 77.5

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

### Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Date Received: Not Applicable Project: PO M04698, F&BI 910031
Date Extracted: 10/06/09 Lab ID: I9-414 mb

Parts Applicable Project: PO M04698, F&BI 910031
Date Extracted: 10/06/09 Lab ID: I9-414 mb

Date Analyzed: 10/07/09 Data File: I9-414 mb.009 Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1

Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: btb

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 81 60 125

Analyte: Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 <1</td>

 Nickel
 <1</td>

 Copper
 <1</td>

 Zinc
 <1</td>

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 10/08/09 Date Received: 10/02/09

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M04698, F&BI 910031

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 910036-01 (Duplicate)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Difference	Acceptance Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm	0-20
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	3.37	3.26	3	0-20
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	1.45	1.40	4	0-20
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	4.82	4.83	0	0-20

Laboratory Code: 910036-01 (Matrix Spike)

		Percent							
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Recovery MS	Acceptance Criteria				
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	111	50-150				
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.37	102	50-150				
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.45	96	50-150				
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	4.82	103	50-150				

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Percent Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	112	70-130
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	70-130
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	109	70-130
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	91	70-130

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$  nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\operatorname{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044

e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

October 8, 2009



**INVOICE #09ACU1008-3** 

Accounts Payable Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Project Metro Self Monitor, PO M04698, F&BI 910031 - Results of testing requested by Gerry Thompson for material submitted on October 2, 2009.

FEDERAL TAX ID #(b) (6)

Company ALASKAN Cospex Weeks  Address 628 S Howard St  Address 628 S Howard St  City, State, ZIP Seattle was 98134  Phone # Zolo-SHWBFax #206-382-4309  REMARKS  REMARKS  REMARKS  REMARKS  ANALYSES REQUESTED  Notes  Notes	Send Report To Sence	D THO	msi~	12 M	SAMPLERS (S	ignature)			* *	<u></u>	1	Page	of
City, State, ZIP Seattle und 98134  Phone # Z06-8714035Fax #206-382-4309  ANALYSES REQUESTED  REMARKS  SAMPLE DISPOSAL  Dispose after 30 days  REMARKS  ANALYSES REQUESTED	COMPANY ALASKAN	CORDER	wales		and the	* *	m²-du	1				RUSH	4dry
1 ine	tity, State, ZIP Seattle	L wat	98130	. 101000	REMARKS	100 a 60 a 61 a		*** ·		146 1 R 140 XV	01	Dispose a Renom sa	fler 30 days mples

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West

Seattle, WA 98119-2029

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Fax (206) 283-5044

FORMSVOOCVCOCLDOC

			<u>.</u>
// SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE TIME
Relinquished by	Grene 12 Thompson	ACI	19/2/29 2:22 RM
m land au	Man Dian	FEBI	10/2/09 V
Relinquished by		V	
Received by:	0 n	* 7 ,*	12

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

October 8, 2009

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on October 2, 2009 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M04698, F&BI 910031 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1008R.DOC